

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,639	10/28/2003	Hiroshi Okada	17096.002001	5396	
Jonathan P. Os	7590 03/13/200 sha	EXAMINER			
Rosenthal & Osha L.L.P.			EVANS, KIMBERLY L		
Suite 2800 1221 McKinne	ev St.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 7			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2000	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/695,639		OKADA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KIMBERLY EVANS	3629		
	Tunnberter Errato			

	KIMBERLY EVANS	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo				
Extensions of utilize they be doublest or united 37 GFR.1.130(g). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 GFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 San attached Nation of Nan Co.	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (i	- TOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii sabililitea iii a separate, i	aniery med amendmen	it duriceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the company of the compa		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hafara as an the data of Elina a his	tion of Annualill not	be entered				
 because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
Macades in the reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
LIGHT C MEIGG							
/JOHN G WEISS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629	/KIMBERLY EVANS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3629						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In view of applicant's arguments regarding 112 rejection, the 112 rejection would be withdrawn if application goes to the Board, however the 103 arguments are not persuasive for reconsideration.

The Examiner has reviewed applicant's remarks and disagree in view of argruments and KSR it would have been obvious to provide numerous URLs to provide the customer with greater selection for best price. Haines et al., discloses a consulable order-assistance system for computer peripheral devices and a user interaction site to include but not limited to part number of the consumable and URL information whereby the user can order the part via reseller's website. Walker et al., discloses a method and apparatus for ordering replaceable printing components via a list of resellers stored in the replaceable printing component indicative of the particular sales channel for reordering the replaceable printing component to include a web address or a URL. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the consumbale order-assistance system that haines and the replaceable printing component and storage device of Walker with the Document Delivery System of Brewster et al., because this would provide a more detailed printer profile to include "country" focation making acquisition of comsumable components a more efficient process.